Canadian Journal of Educational and Social Studies
Vol. 3(5), 2023, pp. 38-47

Sociotechnical Systems in the 21st Century: An Analysis of Antecedents and Determinants from a Multivariate Perspective

Siddhartha Paul Tiwari[1]

[1] Google Asia Pacific, Maple Tree Business City, Singapore
Email: sidpaultiwari@gmail.com

DOI: 10.53103/cjess.v3i5.171

Abstract

Through the application of social sciences theories, new socio-technical approaches to information systems seek to overcome traditional shortcomings. Identifying complex problems today requires a deeper understanding of how our lives, traditions, and institutions have become profoundly 'sociotechnical' at any level of human interaction. Flexibility and speed are the primary pillars of future analysis to ensure survival. A change in mindset is the first step towards transforming an organization, since in the future, organizations will need to find a balance between extremes. There will continue to be interest in socio-technical design in the future, and therefore, this field will adapt over time in order to meet the demands of ever-changing conditions. Regardless of changes in their organizational structures, organizations must remain committed to motivation. When implementing disruptive, more advanced technologies within an organization, both long and short-term considerations are required. Several findings of this paper indicate that any new technology can pose a significant disruption to an organization and society in general if not implemented properly. As a consequence, organizations should investigate new technologies from all angles within their organization. This paper presents a conceptual framework for engineering socio-technical systems that is required in order to integrate socio-technical considerations into existing technology research and development processes in a progressive manner. This study employs a qualitative approach to examine the antecedents and determinants of sociotechnical systems. A focus group discussion was conducted with 310 professionals from 40 organizations working in fields such as technology and society and managing sociotechnical systems in general. This discussion led to the recommendations and conclusions resulting from the study.

Keywords: Factors Affecting Sociotechnical Systems, Impact of Sociotechnical Systems on Society, Interaction of Society with Technology, Mechanism between Social and Technical Systems

Introduction

An approach to social structures that focuses on the interaction between people and technology is called the sociotechnical systems approach. This approach has been developed as a result of the recognition a recent study has made of the interaction between

people and technology in society. The open system concept continues to play a mediating role in society, which means that if it is used in enterprises, then it should be referred to as a sociotechnical system, not only as a social system (Trist, 1981). When it comes to large, complex infrastructures, the term 'cybernetic' is often used to describe them, a term also applied to human emotions and relationships, technical objects and the processes that help make them work, that can often refer to the dense, complex infrastructure as a whole. In order to articulate the sociotechnical system, it is necessary to understand the interaction between social and technical systems. (Cooper & Foster, 1971; Dolgoff, 1973; Emery, 1980). Complex sociotechnical systems are characterized by structural complexity, including the complex social structures and the substructures of the social structures (Waler et al., 2008).

A complex sociotechnical system cannot be constructed in a single piece, which makes it important to consider that. In general, organizations undergo incremental changes in the way they operate and organize their functions as they progress along the road to success (Pava, 1986; Kolodny & Kiggundu, 1980). Thus, since this is a lifelong development, it is possible for the composition of such a group to include incongruous constituents with different ages and developmental stages at any given point in time. In the course of describing systems, it is common to speak of them in terms of small, medium, or large in the absence of a precise definition for each. In comparison to product or organizational life cycles, system life cycles do not have definite boundaries; indeed, they can continue to exist over a prolonged period of time after the system has been installed. There are many sociotechnical systems in today's world, and a few of them can be found in very diverse forms in different parts of the world. For example, semiconductor chips are prepared in factories by workers in factories, or a large organization may use artificial intelligence and machine learning techniques in order to improve efficiency within a company.

There are a lot of aspects associated with sociotechnical systems, but one of the most obvious is the relationship between the social aspects of people and society as well as the technical aspects of organizational structures and processes. The term "technical" is not necessarily synonymous with the term "material technology" in this context. It refers to the ancient Greek term techne, which is defined as a form of knowledge which is concerned with procedures and related information. There is a broad sense of "technical" when it comes to structure, and it encompasses a broader sense of technicality as well. An organization or an entire society can be described as sociotechnical when it encompasses both social and technical aspects in a way that creates an interconnection between them. In terms of sociotechnical systems, their underlying principle is that they are a cooperative effort to optimize and coexist both technical performance and the quality of people's lives. The emphasis is placed on achieving excellence both in technical performance and in the working environment, as well as achieving excellence in technical performance (Norman

& Stappers, 2015; Singh, 2014; Taylor, 1986).

In recent years, there has been an increase in organizations that are relying heavily on both technology and human interaction, resulting in a more holistic approach based on sociotechnical systems theory. Take for instance the increasingly critical role that the internet now plays in the functioning of any organisation, a role that is in fact a sociotechnical system, if we take the time to understand it properly. The truth is that most businesses today would not last very long if they were not connected to the internet. An organization can gain a much better understanding of how these systems work together by understanding how they relate to one another.

Methodology

Research Design

A qualitative approach has been used in this study to explore the antecedents and determinants of sociotechnical systems. The relationship between the variables in the survey was examined and analyzed as part of this article's qualitative research to provide deeper insight into the issues.

Participants

310 professionals from 40 organizations, working in fields such as technology and society and managing sociotechnical systems in general, participated in a focus group discussion that led to the study's recommendations and conclusions, which were based on the findings of in-depth interviews and focus group discussions that were conducted throughout the study. It is also worth mentioning that the respondents were selected based on their knowledge and experience of the topic of the study, as well as the level of experience they had with it at the time they were selected. Several factors of sociotechnical systems antecedents and determinants of the sociotechnical systems were asked of the respondents, which included: the trends in throughput, the rise in economic activity as a result of sociotechnical system antecedents and determinants, the development of human resources, education, and social protection, as well as a variety of other sociotechnical system-related factors.

Instrument

During the course of the process, a questionnaire was developed and discussed with experts in the field as part of the process. A primary expert from the Asian Development Bank (ADB) and a secondary expert from the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) were used by the author of this paper as primary and secondary experts, respectively. This interaction was used to obtain feedback from them, which was highly valued, since they handle sociotechnical systems on a daily basis as part of their

regular work. To ensure that the questionnaire would be acceptable to the public and would be understandable to them, it was the responsibility of the experts to make sure that it would be acceptable and understandable to them. The responses of participants to the interview were checked after they had taken place by a second expert who was enlisted to help us check the quality of the responses. Consequently, they provide us with an opportunity to draw conclusions about what the participants have said in their answers through the information they have provided.

Procedure

For the purpose of gathering the necessary data, the author conducted face-to-face interviews with representatives of the organisations in order to collect the necessary information for the study. In order to improve the overall response rate to this research, as well as provide respondents with an opportunity to clarify any confusion they may have about the research, this face-to-face interaction provided the respondents with the opportunity to check the accuracy of their responses. Face-to-face interviewing is one of the most effective methods for ensuring accurate responses, facilitating an accurate interpretation of survey tools, and increasing the quality of data collected, as a result of face-to-face interviewing. The validity of the findings was enhanced as a result of this.

Data Analysis

In the study, the author used Microsoft Excel and Super Decision software, in conjunction with the source data collected from targeted respondents on sociotechnical systems, in order to analyze the data collected from those respondents. According to the analysis conducted by the author, the interviews were qualitatively analyzed specifically for sociotechnical systems, and this has been summarized in the results and conclusions section of the paper. Results and discussions are the next section of the paper which examines the outcome of the interview and the discussion that went along with it.

Results and Discussions

In light of the findings of this study, which focused on the antecedents and determinants of sociotechnical systems, it has been recommended that further research on a few avenues should be conducted after this study has been completed. With the current technological advancements occurring in the field of sociotechnical systems, the field of research in this area is vast, and at this moment, this topic is relatively under researched. It is prudent to interpret and expand on the findings of this study with caution, in light of the fact that technology is always changing in harmony with society, as well as the fact that they are always evolving. A summary of the main findings and conclusions from this study

is provided below.

Sociotechnical Systems: Contributing Positively to Society

An organization or culture can benefit from sociotechnical systems by combining the management of technical systems with the management of social systems in a way that is beneficial to everyone in general as far as managing technical and social systems are concerned. A sociotechnical system is able to detect and identify the complexity created by humans when they work with technology, thus reducing the risk of having missed complexity or accepting a system layer as granted. There are a number of key elements of the sociotechnical systems approach. One of the key elements is the combination of human elements and the technical systems in order to open up new possibilities for work and to facilitate the introduction of new technologies. Essentially we can say that technology is changing society because it is changing our environment, which in turn creates a need for us to adapt to the changes. As a result of a change in the environment, there is often a change in our customs and social institutions to make sure that these changes are adapted to the changes in the environment (Waterson et al., 2015).

Autonomy within a Responsible Framework

Among the pioneering innovations of sociotechnical systems is that it shifted the emphasis from the individual to teams or groups as the primary unit of analysis, rather than the individual, as the primary unit of analysis. There is a great deal of attention paid in sociotechnical systems to the internal supervision and leadership within the group. This is known as responsible autonomy in sociotechnical systems. Essentially, what appears to be happening is that there is a tendency for team effectiveness to vary not only based upon the ability of individual team members to perform their function, but also depending on other factors as well. The regulation and leadership of a group or team can be considered to be a solution to many problems in the field of team cohesion research, for example, in which the regulation and leadership are internal to the group or team. Sociotechnical theory exploits these factors as well as a host of others as key contributors to the success of teamwork which is a key component of sociotechnical system. A number of additional benefits can also be derived from a semi-autonomous group structure. There are several reasons for this, not the least of which is that people in the organization often feel they need to be part of a small secondary group, especially when they work in hazardous environments. In some cases, it is argued that an addressable situation occurs when there is a lack of appropriate or effective means of communicating effectively.

A Landscape That Is Constantly Changing

Currently, organizational scholars use the term "organizational context" a lot in their research domains due to the development in technology and innovation in recent years. As a result of the study, it is demonstrated that the relationship between technologies and social environments plays a key role in the development of technology and has been termed the socio-technical approach. According to the research undertaken by the author, there is a degree of consistency between the ways in which people behave and those in which technology behaves. It is important to note that any change in technological behavior will have a significant impact on the way social relationships, feelings, and attitudes will evolve in the future. There is a lot of work to be done if there is already a system in place in any organization to keep it up-to-date with the new developments in technology as they come out. Due to the fact that the employees tend to stick with the old system, they will be impacted by the change, while the development needs to be highly customized in accordance with the social behaviors of the organization. There is a significant problem that arises when a technological change is introduced to a system, and this is because the system components have a dynamic relationship with the existing environment, which makes it extremely difficult and complex to cope up with. In order to complete a complete reorganisation of an organization's socio-technical systems and lower the level of resistance against the change, no matter how deep the socio-technical systems are. An organization is more likely to achieve better results if its social system is well integrated with its new technological system.

The 21st Century Demands the Adoption of a Pragmatic Approach

According to the research conducted by this author, a pragmatic approach to the engineering of socio-technical systems appears to be required in order to incorporate socio-technical considerations into existing technology research and development processes in a progressive manner. The socio-technical aspects of the technology sector will be integrated into the existing research and development processes on the basis of this approach, so that a socio-technical aspect is incorporated into the existing research and development processes. There is an inherent problem with usability, as well as an incompatibility between sociotechnical and technical methods for developing systems, which must be addressed. Moreover, it is expected that more research will be conducted in this area in the long run, and the long-term aim is to develop balanced solutions in light of the proliferation of artificial intelligence in the near future. This is meant by the author as a systematic and constructive process of implementing, producing, designing, testing, operating, and evolving complex systems in a systematic and constructive manner based on socio-technical principles and methods. A socio-technical analysis of a situation cannot be sufficient to explain a situation to engineers if it is simply analyzed from a socio-technical

viewpoint. Based on the author's opinion, this just does not suffice. In order to develop and evolve systems in a sustainable way, society needs to suggest ways in which socio-technical analyses can be used constructively (Zemke, 1987). There are a large number of organizations that have dedicated a great deal of money and resources to emerging technologies and tools, so sociotechnical approaches can only succeed if these technologies and tools are preserved and integrated.

A Sustainable System Must Meet the Needs of Sociotechnical Systems

A growing awareness of sustainability related to environmental and financial aspects has led to a focus on the reduction of waste and emissions, the development of new technologies, and the greening of industrial ecosystems. Research and conceptualization of sociotechnical systems have yet to incorporate the concept of inclusive development. In contrast to technological transitions, socio-technical transitions involve changes in user practices and institutional regulatory, traditional and cultural structures (Pasmore et al., 1982). Moreover, there is a technological component. A number of innovations, both technological and non-technological, are generally considered to be part of the process of a sociotechnical change and they are complementary to each other as part of the process of the transition. I believe it is important to keep in mind that socio-technical transitions do not only affect existing structures, such as logistics, but also affect related social and economic domains, as well, such as living conditions, economics and GDP, production, and trade, as well as societal and economic planning. In order to move towards more sustainable production and consumption modes, existing sociotechnical systems are undergoing long-term, multidimensional, and fundamental transformation processes in order to shift from modes of production and consumption that are more aligned with sustainable development towards more sustainable production and consumption modes. Sustainability transitions are characterized by a particular feature, and that is the fact that guidance and governance often play an important role in ensuring a smooth transition. In determining how a transition should proceed, the direction of the transition may be influenced by a number of factors, such as long-term objectives, which can also guide its direction. An organized and purposeful transition is taking place in this case, and it is expected that a number of actors involved will work in an efficient and coordinated manner to facilitate the transition in this case (Carayon, 2006). It is without a doubt that institutional and regulatory support, as well as the support of the government, will play a vital role in guiding such a transition in the best possible way. The final point to be made is that to qualify as sustainable in the long run, one needs to be aware that sustainable can be interpreted in different ways and may change over time as technological advances occur.

Conclusion

There are many ways to observe and interpret complex technologies, but the sociotechnical systems approach is one of the most widely recognized methods to do so. A sociotechnical system approach emphasizes the entanglement that exists between the technical, social, and institutional dimensions that together lead to specific use patterns and ethical concerns when using the technology. Sociotechnical systems are becoming increasingly popular in the 21st century as we are in the midst of a technological revolution accompanied by profound changes in society as a result of all of these changes. In the context of all the positive impacts that sociotechnical systems have had on a number of organizations over the years, the importance of sociotechnical systems cannot be overstated. The field of sociotechnical systems has been gaining some traction in recent years, especially in the industry, and there is some evidence that it is now creating some interest outside academic circles. Based on the findings of this study, five critical aspects of sociotechnical systems were identified, namely, sociotechnical systems are contributing positively to society, sociotechnical systems require autonomy within a responsible framework, sociotechnical systems are a landscape that is constantly changing, and we need to adopt a pragmatic approach to sociotechnical systems in the 21st century, and a sustainable sociotechnical system should meet the needs of society and its institutions at the same time. As a result of being developed and pushed from there, sociotechnical systems have gained in popularity over time.

A sociotechnical system can be seen as an entry point into the systems thinking world for many, with its concept of the joint optimization of both technical and social aspects of an organization. If we were to describe modern organisations as sociotechnical systems, we would have to make an assertion that is true under every interpretation that can be given. A variety of social and technical components abound in all organisations that have to be dealt with on a regular basis by people who work there. Rather than any specific outcome, the value of sociotechnical system design lies in the perspective and understanding that it brings. In our increasingly complex society, sociotechnical design plays a very important role in many different aspects. In social technological systems, there is a democratic, humanistic world where there is a freedom of expression for each individual and a knowledge of what is happening within.

References

Aurellado, E. A., & Tiwari, S. P. (2023). Explicit and context-sensitive experimental evaluation of organization development for the purpose of improving operational efficiency in an IT company. *International Journal of Social Science Research and Review, 6*(4), 244-253.

Carayon, P. (2006). Human factors of complex sociotechnical systems. *Applied*

Ergonomics, 37(4), 525-535.

Carayon, P., Hancock, P., Leveson, N., Noy, I., Sznelwar, L., & Van Hootegem, G. (2015). Advancing a sociotechnical systems approach to workplace safety–developing the conceptual framework. *Ergonomics, 58*(4), 548-564.

Chisholm, R. F., & Ziegenfuss, J. T. (1986). A review of applications of the sociotechnical systems approach to health care organizations. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 22*(3), 315-327.

Chopra, A. K., & Singh, M. P. (2018, December). Sociotechnical systems and ethics in the large. In Proceedings of the 2018 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society (pp. 48-53).

Ciborra, C., Migliarese, P., & Romano, P. (1984). A methodological inquiry of organizational noise in sociotechnical systems. *Human Relations, 37*(8), 565-588.

Cooper, R., & Foster, M. (1971). Sociotechnical systems. *American Psychologist, 26*(5), 467.

Dolgoff, T. (1973). Organizations as sociotechnical systems. *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic, 37*(3), 232.

Emery, F. (1980). Designing socio-technical systems for 'greenfield' sites. *Journal of Occupational Behaviour*, 19-27.

Kelly, J. E. (1978). A reappraisal of sociotechnical systems theory. *Human Relations, 31*(12), 1069-1099.

Kolodny, H. F., & Kiggundu, M. N. (1980). Towards the development of a sociotechnical systems model in woodlands mechanical harvesting. *Human Relations, 33*(9), 623-645.

Miller, E. J. (1975). Socio-technical systems in weaving, 1953-1970: A follow-up study. *Human Relations, 28*(4), 349-386.

Norman, D. A., & Stappers, P. J. (2015). DesignX: complex sociotechnical systems. *She Ji: The Journal of Design, Economics, and Innovation, 1*(2), 83-106.

Pasmore, W., Francis, C., Haldeman, J., & Shani, A. (1982). Sociotechnical systems: A North American reflection on empirical studies of the seventies. *Human Relations, 35*(12), 1179-1204.

Pava, C. (1986). Redesigning sociotechnical systems design: Concepts and methods for the 1990s. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 22*(3), 201-221.

Robinson, G. H. (1982). Accidents and sociotechnical systems: principles for design. *Accident Analysis & Prevention, 14*(2), 121-130.

Selbst, A. D., Boyd, D., Friedler, S. A., Venkatasubramanian, S., & Vertesi, J. (2019, January). Fairness and abstraction in sociotechnical systems. In Proceedings of the conference on fairness, accountability, and transparency (pp. 59-68).

Singh, M. P. (2014). Norms as a basis for governing sociotechnical systems. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST), 5*(1), 1-23.

Taylor, J. C. (1986). Long-term sociotechnical systems change in a computer operations department. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 22*(3), 303-313.

Tiwari, S. P. (2022). The Impact of New Technologies on Society: A Blueprint for the Future. Scholarly Publisher RS Global Sp. z OO.

Trist, E. L. (1981). The evolution of socio-technical systems (Vol. 2). Toronto: Ontario Quality of Working Life Centre.

Van der Zwaan, A. H. (1975). The sociotechnical systems approach: A critical evaluation. *The International Journal of Production Research, 13*(2), 149-163.

Walker, G. H., Stanton, N. A., Salmon, P. M., & Jenkins, D. P. (2008). A review of sociotechnical systems theory: A classic concept for new command and control paradigms. *Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science, 9*(6), 479-499.

Waterson, P., Robertson, M. M., Cooke, N. J., Militello, L., Roth, E., & Stanton, N. A. (2015). Defining the methodological challenges and opportunities for an effective science of sociotechnical systems and safety. *Ergonomics, 58*(4), 565-599.

Zemke, R. (1987). Sociotechnical systems: Bringing people and technology together. *Training, 24*(2), 47.